ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/025,515 Confirmation No. 6307

Applicant : William E. Webler et al.

Filed: December 18, 2001

Title : ROTATABLE FERRULES AND INTERFACES FOR USE WITH AN OPTICAL GUIDEWIRE

Art Unit : 3739

Examiner : John P. Leubecker

Docket No.: : ACSG-60271 (G2168USO1)

Customer No. : 24201 July 31, 2009

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

Dear Sir:

Applicant hereby submits an application for patent term adjustment for Serial No. 10/025,515 – filed December 18, 2001. A Notice of Allowance for said patent application was mailed by the USPTO on May 4, 2009. The issue fee was paid concurrently today with this document.

The fee of \$200.00 as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.18(e) and the fee of \$400.00 as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.18(f) is being paid by credit card with this electronic transmission. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 06-2425.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The correct patent term adjustment and the bases under 37 CFR § 1.702 for said requested adjustment is as follows:

- On June 26, 2002, Applicants' undersigned attorneys, Fulwider Patton LLP, filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) a Power of Attorney by Assignee of Entire Interest (Revocation of Prior Powers). Applicants' previous attorneys were Coudert Brothers LLP, San Francisco, California.
- On August 5, 2004, the PTO mailed an Election/Restriction Requirement to Coudert Brothers, LLP, even though Fulwider Patton LLP was the correct attorneys of record. Fulwider Patton LLP never received this Election/Restriction Requirement.
- 3. On November 19, 2004, the PTO mailed a new Election/Restriction Requirement which reset the time for response. The PTO again addressed this Election/ Restriction Requirement to Coudert Brothers LLP. Fulwider Patton LLP eventually received a copy of the November 19, 2004 Election/ Restriction Requirement and filed a Response on December 7, 2004. Applicants' Response was filed within one month of the mailing date of the Election/Restriction Requirement.
- 4. The PTO has incorrectly calculated the time of the patent term extension by relying on the Election/Restriction Requirement dated August 5, 2004. The PTO erred in forwarding this Election/Restriction Requirement to the wrong attorneys. Accordingly, Applicants have calculated and consider that the correct number of days of patent term adjustment should be an additional <u>138 days</u>, when accounting for the PTO's error. The correct term adjustment should be 1,015 days, not 877 days, as calculated by the PTO.

There were no circumstances constituting a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of said application as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.704.

Respectfully submitted,

FULWIDER PATTON LLP

/Thomas H. Majcher/ Thomas H. Majcher Registration No. 31,119

THM/Im

355835.1